

POST OFFICE BOX 335, JEROME, ARIZONA 86331 (928) 634-7943 www.jerome.az.gov

MINUTES SPECIAL MEETING OF THE JEROME TOWN COUNCIL CONDUCTED VIA ZOOM TUESDAY, DECEMBER 7, 2021 AT 10:00 AM

ITEM #1:	CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL
10:00 (0:08)	Mayor/Chairperson to call meeting to order.
	Mayor Jack Dillenberg called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.
	Town Clerk to call and record the roll.
	Town Manager/Clerk Candace Gallagher called the roll. Present were Mayor Dillenberg, Vice Mayor Mandy Worth,
	and councilmembers Alex Barber and Jane Moore. Councilmember Sage Harvey was not in attendance. Also
	present were Town Attorney Bill Sims and Deputy Town Clerk Rosa Cays.
ITEM #2:	AREA TRANSIT
10:01 (1:07)	Council will discuss the possibility of Jerome's participation in area transit services.
	Ms. Gallagher introduced Tod Morris, senior mobility planner with the Northern Arizona Council of Governments (NACOG), and Rudy Rodriguez, Deputy City Manager of Cottonwood.
	(3:32) Tod Morris shared a visual presentation and talked about the Jerome Transit Feasibility Plan. He said NACOG jumped in to help Cottonwood and Jerome figure out a good plan for this unique situation and said funding for the project would be available in October 2022. Mr. Morris stated that the transit service would be geared for residents, not necessarily for tourists, and that a service route would need to be determined based on need and interest of Jerome residents. He said what also needs to be determined is if the service is feasible, viable, and desirable, then shared the online survey results conducted last fall, filled out by 78 people, with over 80 percent stating they were Jerome residents. He said this was strong enough data to make determinations. Mr. Morris said most survey takers were aged 50+ who shared their needs for and access to transportation. He also talked about service considerations, such as busses in Jerome, budget, times and days of the week, impact on the supply of drivers, ADA paratransit service (determined by ADOT), and integration with existing Cottonwood area transit (CAT) routes, whose hub is at the Cottonwood Library. Mr. Morris said they were looking for a \$4,500 match from Jerome toward a total of \$9,000 per year to pay for the service. He said they are playing with "red route" adjustments to avoid a need for additional drivers or vehicles and evaluating navigation in Jerome. Mr. Morris said pickup in Jerome could be at the 300-level parking lot, although additional stops by the Sliding Jail and across from Puscifer on Main Street are also being considered. He said they would need because the route would only stop at the Cottonwood Library.
	Ms. Gallagher asked how often CAT busses go by the library. Mr. Morris said they leave every 45 minutes. Mr. Morris shared an example of a roundtrip to Walmart, which would cost a total of \$2.50 and would take a total of 145 minutes, including two transfers of busses. He then talked about the current "high level" door-to- door shuttle service Jerome offers on Wednesdays and asked if it would remain if public transit were established.
	Mayor Dillenberg said the town would maintain both if possible. Mr. Dillenberg asked if Walmart could be approached about free bus passes. Mr. Morris said he has not had much luck with other Walmarts in other rural areas but said he can look into it. Mr. Morris also said he was not sure what the ADA requirements are just yet, since it is an unusual route, and that it is an expensive service.
	He then asked if Jerome could make the \$4,500 commitment per year. Ms. Gallagher said it has been budgeted for the last two years in anticipation of adding the transit service.
	Ms. Moore asked if there would be other stops besides Walmart. Mr. Morris said Walmart was just one stop, which he simply used as an example. He presented a map showing other routes. Mr. Rodriguez said the routes stop at all grocery stores, pharmacies, medical clinics, and Yavapai College.
	Ms. Moore then suggested other stops in Jerome, including Hampshire Avenue at the high school or overlook lot owned by the Jerome Historical Society, or at the hairpin curve by the old fire station. She asked what times in the day the bus would come up to Jerome. Mr. Morris said this is still being evaluated. Mr. Rodriguez mentioned time constraints because of the effects on other routes, so they plan to start with just one bus stop for now. He was concerned that three stops were being suggested. Ms. Moore clarified that she meant two spots total, one

	uptown and one low	er in town. I	Mr. Morris said	they can play a	round with sto	ops and see who	at works in the	pilot			
	year.										
	Mr. Morris said marketing of this new service and familiarizing riders with the CAT system would be important; he welcomed strategies.										
	Ms. Barber thanked Mr. Morris and Mr. Rodriguez for their work on this project. She said the 300 level is more of a service for tourists and suggested two or three quick stops closer to residents who would use the bus.										
	Ms. Gallagher asked i Morris said he was ta think the 300-level st be a good stop.	f there wou king a flexik	ld be flexibility ble approach to	in changing th the whole pro	e stops if the o ject. Ms. Gallag	nes chosen are gher said Counc	not effective. I il did not seem	to			
	Vice Mayor Worth sa	id school bu	s stops could b	e considered.							
	Mr. Rodriguez said th				nd make a test	run with the st	ops suggested.				
	Ms. Barber mentioned that there is a red zone by the steps used by the police and fire departments.										
	Ms. Moore asked what size bus will be used. Mr. Rodriguez said they are "cutaways" that are 20-25 feet long and 8 feet wide.										
	Ms. Gallagher asked if certain days would be preferred. Mr. Morris said they are assessing this, and t was one day being considered. Mr. Rodriguez said Wednesday was also a possibility. He said they ne Clarkdale about adjusting the route.										
	Ms. Gallagher pointed out that Wednesday is the established day for our existing shuttle service, and that choosing a different day would allow residents two opportunities each week to travel to Cottonwood.										
	(43:10) Council took a	i five-minut	e break.								
ITEM #3:	EXECUTIVE SESSION										
10:51 (43:16)	Council will convene in				8-431.03 (A)(3)	and (A)(4) to o	onfer with and	Ł			
	receive legal advice fro										
	 Development Short term re 		re: 300 Queer	i Street							
	No action will be taken		ems								
			ive session at 10	0.51 a m							
	COUNCILMEMBER	MOVED	SECONDED	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN	1			
	BARBER			X							
	DILLENBERG HARVEY			Х		х					
	MOORE	v	x	X X							
	WORTH	х		X				i			
	(43:51) Council returr	ned to open	session at 11:37	a.m.							
	Ms. Gallagher stated				peting Sedana	's ordinance rea	arding short-t	erm			
	rentals would be disc				-						
ITEM #4:	ADJOURNMENT			-,	0						
	Motion to a	idjourn at 1	<u>1:39 a.m.</u>								
	COUNCILMEMBER	MOVED	SECONDED	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN				
	BARBER DILLENBERG		x	X X		+					
	HARVEY					х					
1	MOORE	х		Х				1			
	WORTH			Х							

APPROVE:

ATTEST:

Dr. Jack Dillenberg, Mayor

Candace B. Gallagher, CMC, Town Manager/Clerk

Date: ____



POST OFFICE BOX 335, JEROME, ARIZONA 86331 (928) 634-7943 www.jerome.az.gov

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING OF THE JEROME TOWN COUNCIL

CONDUCTED VIA ZOOM

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 2021, AT 7:00 P.M.

ITEM #1:	CALL TO ORDER/ROLI	CALL							
7:01 (0:10)	Mayor/Chairperson to ca	ll meeting to c	order.						
	Mayor Jack Dillenberg	called the mee	ting to order at	7:01 p.m.					
	Town Clerk to call and record the roll.								
	Town Manager/Clerk Candace Gallagher called the roll. Present were Mayor Dillenberg and councilmembers Sage Harvey,								
	Alex Barber, and Jane Moore. Vice Mayor Mandy Worth arrived after roll call (1:43). Also present were Town Attorney Bill								
	Sims, Finance Manager Melanie Atkin, and Deputy Town Clerk Rosa Cays.								
	Mayor Dillenberg men						da in considera	ation of his	
	limited time. Agenda i	tems have beer	n left in their or	iginal order in	these minutes.				
ITEM #2:	FINANCIAL REPORTS								
7:02 (1:28)	Financial reports for Nov	ember 2021							
	Motion to ac	cept the Nover	mber 2021 Finai	ncial Reports					
	COUNCILMEMBI	R MOVED	SECONDED	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN		
	BARBER DILLENBERG		x	X X				-	
	HARVEY	x		X				-	
	MOORE			Х					
	WORTH			Х					
ITEM #3:	STAFF AND COUNCIL								
8:08 (45:26)	Reports by the Town Ma						Works Depart	tment,	
	Building Inspector, Libra								
	Ms. Gallagher read fro				Jerome Fire De	partment for h	osting the rece	ent	
	vaccination booster cl	nic. 89 vaccine	s were administ	tered.					
	<u>Motion to ac</u>	<u>cept the Nover</u>	<u>mber 2021 Staf</u> f	Reports					
	COUNCILMEMB	R MOVED	SECONDED	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN]	
	BARBER DILLENBERG			X X				-	
	HARVEY	x		X				-	
	MOORE		х	Х					
	WORTH		x	Х					
ITEM #4:	PLANNING & ZONING				-				
8:13 (50:27)	Minutes are provided for		on of Council a	nd do not req	uire action.				
ITEM #5:	APPROVAL OF MINUT								
8:13 (50:52)	October 26, 2021 (open a								
	Councilmember Moore								
	<u>Motion to a</u>	oprove the Oct	ober 26 and No	<u>vember 9, 202</u>	<u>21 open-sessior</u>	<u>n minutes, with</u>	minor correct	<u>ion</u>	
	to the Nove	<u>mber 9 minute</u>	<u>25</u>						
	COUNCILMEMBI BARBER	R MOVED	SECONDED	AYE X	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN	-	
	DILLENBERG			X	-			4	
	HARVEY	х		Х					
	MOORE WORTH		x	X X	+			-	
	Workin	I	A	A					
	Motion to ac	cept the Octob	oer 26, 2021 clos	ed-session mi	nutes				
	COUNCILMEMBI	R MOVED	SECONDED	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN		
	BARBER			X				_	
	DILLENBERG HARVEY	x		X				-	
	MOORE			X					
	WORTH		х	Х					
	Motion to ac	cept the Nover	mber 9, 2021 clo	osed-session m	ninutes				
	COUNCILMEMBI	R MOVED	SECONDED	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN]	
1	BARBER			X				4	
	DILLENBERG HARVEY	x		X				-	
	MOORE	^		^	-		х	-	
	WORTH		х	Х	1			1	

ITEM #6:	PETITION	S FROM THE PL	JBLIC								
8:17 (54:05)	There were no petitions from the public.										
ITEM #7:	ORDINA	NCES AND RES	SOLUTION	١S							
7:04 (2:57)	ITEM #7A: SECOND READING AND POSSIBLE ADOPTION – ORDINANCE NO. 477, AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF JEROME, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA, APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP Council may conduct the second reading of Ordinance No. 477. Councilmember Harvey motioned to move into executive session at 7:05 p.m.										
	Motion to go into executive session at 7:05 p.m. COUNCILMEMBER MOVED AYE NAY ABSENT ABSTAIN										
		BARBER DILLENBERG HARVEY	X	X	X X X X		ABSENT	ABSTAIN			
		MOORE	~					Х			
					Х						
		returned to open : r. Sims said he has		•			c				
	a develo a stipula that wo of the co reticent the stipu been pro specified Councilr (10:58) I for then challeng within t	f a right of way ad opment agreemen ition: the property uld address subsic ommunity and of t to accept a develo ulation could be ad esented to them ju d date once the te nember Moore sta Mr. Sinclair stated n so they can mov- ge is to start const he time required.	t now, of wh y would be r lence and rig the property opment agre ddressed an ust 30 minut rms of the s ated for the that he and e forward, k ruction of a He said he a l to table the	nich Mr. Sinclain ezoned subject ght of way issue y. Mr. Sims stat eement before d noted that th es before the r tipulation have record that she Ms. Halbreich retaining mech nd Ms. Halbreice e item for anot	and Ms. Halb to a stipulation es, which Mr. 1 ed that people all the data whe councilmer meeting. Mr. S been discussed talked with Ma ealing with rez manism to be a th agreed with her month, so	reich had conce on regarding en Sinclair has told e in the commun as presented. H abers needed ma ims recommence ed with Mr. Since herself from thi r. Sims about he coning and subs pproved throug o the language N be it.	rns, they addi tering into a c the public are nity, including e said Council ore time to re ded they addra clair and then s item. bw to make it idence issues of the DRB, P8 Ar. Sims prese	ress the zoning levelopment ag e both for the b councilmembe could approve view the langua ess this item at the Council. beneficial for th can take time. H &Z, and Council	matter with reement etterment rs, were the rezone if ge that had a future ne town and le said the process		
	Motion to table Item #7A to the January 11, 2022 regular Council meeting COUNCILMEMBER MOVED SECONDED AYE NAY ABSENT ABSTAIN										
		COUNCILMEMBER BARBER	MOVED	SECONDED	AYE X	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN	_		
		DILLENBERG HARVEY		x	X X				-		
	MOORE X WORTH X X X										
8:17 (54:40)	ARIZONA AND BOA Council ma Ms. Moc	: RESOLUTION I , AMENDING TH RD OF ADJUSTI ay adopt Resolution for thought Councils remained the sa	IE ADOPTI MENT FEE on No. 630. il had previo	E D FEE SCHEI S Dusly authorize	D ULE REGAR d administrati	DING PLANN	ING & ZONII	N G, DESIGN R maintenance if	EVIEW colors or		
	materials remained the same and wondered about the fee, which Ms. Gallagher said could be removed. Ms. Moore acknowledged that it still took staff time to administer and was fine with leaving it. Ms. Moore also asked if large alterations under \$500 (\$25 fee) and new construction of accessory features (\$50 fee) should cost the same.										
	Councilmember Barber agreed with Ms. Moore that the fees should be the same and added that work done without approval should double the application fees, which Ms. Gallagher said was already on the list. Ms. Harvey said neighborhood meeting fees should be higher than \$50 plus mailings because past meetings have required the attendance of several staff members. She suggested also charging for staff time. Ms. Barber and the vice mayor agreed.										
		yor Worth suppor the same. She als						t everyone need	ls to be		
	but subs	vey stated that thi sequent requests v on request and \$20	vould cost r	more in order to	o discourage t	hem. Vice Mayo	or Worth sugg				
	Vice May Ms. Gallo	yor Worth then qu agher clarified all t per suggested rem	uestioned th the suggeste	e fees for large ed changes, altl	alterations vo hough she was	alued higher tha s unclear on the	n \$10,000 and maximum ch				

	<u>M</u>	lotion to adopt R	esolution No	o. 630 with cha	nges recomme	ended by Cour	ncil		
		COUNCILMEMBER BARBER	MOVED	SECONDED	AYE X	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN	
		DILLENBERG			Х				
		HARVEY MOORE		x	X				
		WORTH	х		X				
ITEM #8:	UNFINIS	HED BUSINESS	5						
8:30 (1:08:00)	ITEM #8A: Council will method of Mayor D. Ms. Moo to the gr Mayor D. to see th Ms. Galla Ms. Harv idea for l Vice May goats ha (1:1:22) Ja	IED BUSINES JEROME PION consider a recor keeping brush ar illenberg said he l re said from her e raves and tombsto illenberg asked M illenberg asked M in comber of the ray supported hav her to talk with M yor Worth noted to ve done damage to rome resident ar is to it. Ms. Harvey	EER CEME nmendation ad weeds in iked Mr. Gar experience w ones. s. Moore if s in better sha ixing the fenc ixing the fenc ing the fenc	n from Dave Ga check. ner's suggestic vith goats, that he would be w upe and to repa ace regardless a ce fixed and agr re used in ceme s in Washington ner Jera Peterso	on to have goat they like to ru illing to talk to ir the fence. and had not he reed with Jane teries all over n, DC. on said she wa	is be the grour b and jump on Mr. Garner ab ard other cour that goats are the world in pl s concerned ab	ndskeepers for a things and wa bout the goats. ncil members' c rambunctious lace of grounds	the old cemeter s concerned abo Ms. Moore said opinions about t ; she thought it skeeping. She wo at the cemetery	y. out damage she wants he goats. was a good ondered if , as she
8:37 (1:14:23)	Council will Town Code Ms. Galla few reco residents Main Str will verif number tours on Ms. Barb possibly Ms. Harv also poir the road referred Ms. Moo from res zoning o that ope Vice May requirem (1:2:22) N bright lig cemeter Worsene Ms. Harv weekend cemeter Vice May trespassi	ey said she would ted out that park to the cemetery, to one of the tou re said she would idential zones. Sh rdinance, which c rate outside of Je vor Worth referren hents. As. Peterson, who ghts, and stop at t y and that traffic d the road condit rey informed Ms. F d, with many of th	approve cu companies I nited hours of estrict the hours attorney if the icenses to be they're in a r nief Muma's I like to restr string on Main where the U r company's I like bright I the also pointo could be an is erome. She w d to the tours the Tamale L and noise, es ion due to h Peterson that he tours stop at from a saf o the C-1 zone uld draft the	have submitted of operation we burs to no later inues when the to inis is possible). It issued and the residential zone recommendati rict hours to no Street is ADOT IVX permits to maps and liste ights to be pro- ed out that bus soue for the tou- vas told a van c r business ordin th Drive, said t adies house to specially on the igher use. It she can call the pping on her str fety and liability c. c ordinance and	d route change ith no tours pa than 9 or 10 p. tour vans are n She reminded at they may wi e. fons and sugge later than 10 p right of way, s urs, goes dowr ed other traver hibited and was inesses are rec ar companies. I omes up to the hance, which s cours have incru- tell their story e weekends, ha he police when reet and even I y perspective, s a alert the busi	s for council a st 11 p.m.; pro- m.; prohibit a ot in use excep Council that it sh to clarify th sted they cap om.—period— so it may not b onth Drive, w sed residentia nted to be sur juired to have Ms. Moore ask e cemetery tha states that they eased quite a b this happens. eaving cars run the business o nesses about u	pproval. She sa hibit tours in co mplified sound of for loading of was suggested at residents ca the number of —in commercia be possible to re which is in the l areas. The that walking parking for the ed how the tow at is not a local v are subject to bit and are ther tetimes three d assed on her str Ms. Peterson so nning that can wners should be unapproved roo	id Chief Muma h pommercial areas ; and prohibit p or unloading (Ms the town limit nnot independe tour businesses I and residential estrict parking, R R-1-5 zone. Ms. H tours are also re eir own vehicles, vn could regulat tour business. the zoning ordi re as late as 1 a.m ifferent tours ar eet, which has a said it happens a not make it dow be aware of this utes.	ad made a swhere arking on c. Gallagher the ntly allow to three, l zones. She and that larvey estricted per the e tours nance n., use e at the ilso

Construct Mathematical Activity Second D Art INV ANSENT ANSENT ANSENT Pr36 (13:28) ITEM #8C: CONTRACTUAL OPTIONS FOR ADDRESSING STREET ABANDONMENT AND SUBSIDENCE Council will discuss with the Town Attorney contractual options for addressing street abandonment and the area of subsidence near the Sliding Jall. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38 (43:0.3 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims suggested that the Mayor direct him to meet with Ms. Gallagher, Mr. Sinclair, and Ms. Halbreich to discuss the terms of the development agreement addressing subsidence and the abandomment of the right of way (ROW). He said t would also allow time to draft an amendment to the ordinance related to this matter. (5:38)As the applicant, Mr. Sinclair reiterated that the work around the subsidence and the ROW is not connected to th rezone. He said the challenge is not negotiating that they build a retaining system in exchange for ther ezone; that what being negotiated are abandonment and subsidence issues and liability in exchange for their commitment to pay for the retaining systems that should be the liability of the town. B:440 (17:05) ITEM #8D: SHORT-TERM RENTALS Council will discuss with the Town Attorney its options with respect to controlling or regulating short-term rentals and may direct staff in this regard. Discussion may include a review of an ordinance enacted by the City of Sedona. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-43:00 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims said short-term rentals are a problem across the state because the legi		Motion to appro							7
Intervence x x MORE x x x More Sizes x x Ministry x x x Ministry x<		COUNCILMEMBER	MOVED	SECONDED	AYE X	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN	-
Intervent x x i36 (13:28) ITEM #8C: CONTRACTUAL OPTIONS FOR ADDRESSING STREET ABANDONMENT AND SUBSIDENCE Council will discuss with the Town Attorney contractual options for addressing street abandonment and the area of subsidence near the Sliding Jail. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38 (431:03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims suggested that the Mayor direct him to meet with Ms. Gallagher, Mr. Sinclair, and Ms. Halbreich to discuss the terms of the development agreement addressing subsidence and the abandonment of the right of way (ROW). He said the would also allow time to draft an amendment to the ordinance related to this matter. (17:38) As the applicant, Mr. Sinclair reiterated that the work around the subsidence and the ROW is not connected to the rezone. He said the challenge is not negotiating that they build a retaining system in exchange for the rezone; that what being negotiated are abandonment and subsidence issues and liability in exchange for their commitment to pay for the retaining systems that should be the liability of the town. Council will discuss with the Town Attorney its options with respect to controlling or regulating short-term rentals and may direct staff in this regard. Discussion may include a review of an ordinance enacted by the City of Sedona. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38 (310, 3(A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims said short-term rentals are a problem across the state because the legislature has stepped in and limited local jurisdictions' power to regulate. He said Sedona has been at the helm of this problem and almost lost their state shared revenue for breaking the law and going against the st									
VORTU x				х					
 ITEM #8C: CONTRACTUAL OPTIONS FOR ADDRESSING STREET ABANDONMENT AND SUBSIDENCE Council will discuss with the Town Attorney contractual options for addressing street abandonment and the area of subsidence near the Silding Jail. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38 431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims suggested that the Mayor direct him to meet with Ms. Gallagher, Mr. Sinclair, and Ms. Halbreich to discuss the terms of the development agreement addressing subsidence and the abandonment of the right of way (ROW). He said t would also allow time to draft an amendment to the ordinance related to this matter. (15;38)As the applicant, Mr. Sinclair reiterated that the work around the subsidence and the ROW is not connected to th rezone. He said the challenge is not negotiating that they build a retaining system in exchange for their commitment to pay for the retaining systems that should be the liability of the town. Council will discuss with the Town Attorney its options with respect to controlling or regulating short-term rentals are any direct staff in this regard. Discussion may include a review of an ordinance enacted by the City of Sedona. A portior of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 34.93.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims said short-term rentals are a problem across the state because the legislature has stepped in and limited local jurisdictions' power to regulate. He said Sedona has been at the helm of this problem and almost lost their state shared revenue for breaking the law and going against the state statute. Ms. Simal Sedon has since made their ordinance rejroorus in it demands of short-term rental owners and shared an example: Each short-term rental owner has to have someone respo within 60 minutes, to a call about noise, traffic, trash, or afety issues or will face charges of a civil violation and/or fine o up to \$2500. Another option, he said, would be to involve the police regarding simi			x						-
 Council will discuss with the Town Attorney contractual options for addressing street abandonment and the area of subsidence near the Sliding Jail. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38 431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims suggested that the Mayor direct him to meet with Ms. Callagher, Mr. Sinclair, and Ms. Halbreich to discuss the terms of the development agreement addressing subsidence and the abandonment of the right of way (ROW). He said t would also allow time to draft an amendment to the ordinance related to this matter. (15;38)As the applicant, Mr. Sinclair reiterated that the work around the subsidence and the ROW is not connected to th rezone. He said the challenge is not negotiating that they build a retaining system in exchange for the rezone; that what being negotiated are abandonment and subsidence issues and liability in exchange for their commitment to pay for the retaining systems that should be the liability of the town. Council will discuss with the Town Attorney its options with respect to controlling or regulating short-term rentals and may direct staff in this regard. Discussion may include a review of an ordinance enacted by the City of Sedona. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims said short-term rentals are a problem across the state because the legislature has stepped in and limited local jurisdictions' power to regulate. He said Sedona has been at the helm by robus most their state shared nerges of a (vi) violation and/or fine o up to 3500. Apple and wares and shared ne example: Each short-term rental owner has to have someone regive just under § 300,000 per year in state shared revenue. Mr. Sims said Sedona has since made their ordinance rigorous in it demands of short-term rental owners and shared ne example: Each short-term rental owner has to have someone regive by within 6 on binute	:36 (13:28)	ITEM #8C: CONTRACTU	AL OPTIONS	FOR ADDRE	SSING STRE	ET ABAND	ONMENT AN	D SUBSIDENCE	
 (15:38)As the applicant, Mr. Sinclair reiterated that the work around the subsidence and the ROW is not connected to the rezone. He said the challenge is not negotiating that they build a retaining system in exchange for the rezone; that what being negotiated are abandonment and subsidence issues and liability in exchange for their commitment to pay for the retaining systems that should be the liability of the town. B:40 (17:05) ITEM #8D: SHORT-TERM RENTALS Council will discuss with the Town Attorney its options with respect to controlling or regulating short-term rentals and may direct staff in this regard. Discussion may include a review of an ordinance enacted by the City of Sedona. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims said short-term rentals are a problem across the state because the legislature has stepped in and limited local jurisdictions' power to regulate. He said Sedona has been at the helm of this problem and almost lost their state shared revenue for breaking the law and going against the state statute. Ms. Callagher informed Mr. Sims that Jerome receives just under s300,000 per year in state shared are example: Each short-term rental owner has to have someone respow within 60 minutes to a call about noise, traffic, trash, or safety issues or will face charges of a civil violation and/or fine o up to \$2500. Another option, he said, would be to involve the police regarding similar complaints, as long as the short-ter rental (STR) is being treated the same as other residents. Mr. Sims said some jurisdictions are using HOAs, which works il larger communities, but may not necessarily work well in Jerome. He said Flagstaff is exploring deed restrictions, and so Camp Verde, with guesthouses restricted from becoming STRs. Mr. Sims said completely barring them would not worket the state statute cannot be violated. He reminded everyone about the Gol		subsidence near the Sliding 431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims suggested that terms of the developme	g Jail. A portion the Mayor dia nt agreement	on of this discu rect him to me addressing sul	ussion may tak et with Ms. Ga bsidence and t	te place in ex llagher, Mr. 9 he abandoni	xecutive sessio Sinclair, and Ms ment of the rig	on, pursuant to A 5. Halbreich to dise	.R.S.§38 [.] cuss the
Council will discuss with the Town Attorney its options with respect to controlling or regulating short-term rentals and may direct staff in this regard. Discussion may include a review of an ordinance enacted by the City of Sedona. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). <i>Mr. Sims said short-term rentals are a problem across the state because the legislature has stepped in and limited local jurisdictions' power to regulate. He said Sedona has been at the helm of this problem and almost lost their state shared revenue for breaking the law and going against the state statute. Ms. Gallagher informed Mr. Sims that Jerome receives just under \$300,000 per year in state shared revenue. Mr. Sims said Sedona has since made their ordinance rigorous in it demands of short-term rental owners and shared an example: Each short-term rental owner has to have someone respow within 60 minutes to a call about noise, traffic, trash, or safety issues or will face charges of a civil violation and/or fine or up to \$2500. Another option, he said, would be to involve the police regarding similar complaints, as long as the short-ter rental (STR) is being treated the same as other residents. Mr. Sims said some jurisdictions are using HOAs, which works in larger communities, but may not necessarily work well in Jerome. He said Flagstaff is exploring deed restrictions, and so Camp Verde, with guesthouses restricted from becoming STRs. Mr. Sims said completely barring them would not work—the state statute cannot be violated. He reminded everyone about the Goldwater Institute prior claim. Ms. Moore read from another section in the bill that defined short-term rental and referred to it as a "transient lodgi establishment." Mr. Sims said that whatever regulation is established for STRs must also be applied to long-term rentals Discussion ensued about multiple STRs in one building, shared kitchens, and possible parking requirements. Vice Mayor Worth said the state has</i>		(15:38)As the applicant, rezone. He said the chall being negotiated are abo	Mr. Sinclair re enge is not ne indonment ai	iterated that th gotiating that nd subsidence i	he work aroun they build a re issues and liab	d the subsid taining syste	ence and the R em in exchange	e for the rezone; t	hat what
Council will discuss with the Town Attorney its options with respect to controlling or regulating short-term rentals and may direct staff in this regard. Discussion may include a review of an ordinance enacted by the City of Sedona. A portion of this discussion may take place in executive session, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4). Mr. Sims said short-term rentals are a problem across the state because the legislature has stepped in and limited local jurisdictions' power to regulate. He said Sedona has been at the helm of this problem and almost lost their state shared revenue for breaking the law and going against the state statute. Ms. Gallagher informed Mr. Sims that Jerome receives just under \$300,000 per year in state shared revenue. Mr. Sims said Sedona has since made their ordinance rigorous in it demands of short-term rental owners and shared an example: Each short-term rental owner has to have someone respow within 60 minutes to a call about noise, traffic, trash, or safety issues or will face charges of a civil violation and/or fine or up to \$2500. Another option, he said, would be to involve the police regarding similar complaints, as long as the short-ter rental (STR) is being treated the same as other residents. Mr. Sims said some jurisdictions are using HOAs, which works in larger communities, but may not necessarily work well in Jerome. He said Flagstaff is exploring deed restrictions, and so Camp Verde, with guesthouses restricted from becoming STRs. Mr. Sims said completely barring them would not work—the state statute cannot be violated. He reminded everyone about the Goldwater Institute prior claim. Ms. Moore read from another section in the bill that defined short-term rental and referred to it as a "transient lodgi establishment." Mr. Sims said that whatever regulation is established for STRs must also be applied to long-term rentals Discussion ensued about multiple STRs in one building, shared kitchens, and possible parking requiremets. Vice Mayor Worth said the state has t	3:40 (17:05)	ITEM #8D: SHORT-TERM	I RENTALS						
MN, EQUVEN SOLUTION TO THE LOWER OF LOUIS TO LEVELATE DULI TO USUOUS THE ADD SUBSCIED TO HOW THE SECOND SUBSCIED.	8:40 (17:05)	Council will discuss with the may direct staff in this region of this discussion may take Mr. Sims said short-term jurisdictions' power to re- revenue for breaking the just under \$300,000 per demands of short-term within 60 minutes to a co- up to \$2500. Another op rental (STR) is being tread larger communities, but Camp Verde, with guest the state statute cannot Ms. Moore read from Set STR. She then read from establishment." Mr. Sim Discussion ensued about Vice Mayor Worth said t limited space for accesso Sedona has done to regu- could work for Jerome.	e Town Attor ard. Discussio place in exe- rentals are a egulate. He sa elaw and goir year in state s ental owners all about nois tion, he said, ited the same may not nece nouses restric be violated. I nate Bill 1350, another sect s said that wh multiple STR he state has t bry buildings t late STRs is n	on may include cutive session, problem acros id Sedona has g against the s shared revenue and shared an e, traffic, trash would be to inv as other reside ssarily work w ted from becond te reminded ev then asked if J ion in the bill the natever regulat s in one buildin ied Jerome's he hat can't be re- nore in line with	a review of an pursuant to A s the state been been at the he extrate statute. N e. Mr. Sims said example: Each , or safety issu volve the policients. Mr. Sims ell in Jerome. I ming STRs. Mr. veryone about terome could r nat defined shu ion is establish og, shared kitch ands. She said sided in anywo h Jerome's situ	n ordinance A.R.S. § 38-4 <u>:</u> cause the leg Im of this pro- As. Gallagher Sedona has n short-term es or will face e regarding s said some ju He said Flags . Sims said co the Goldwat equire two p port-term ren hed for STRs hens, and po establishing ty, deed rest hation, and w	enacted by the 31.03 (A)(3) an islature has ste oblem and alm informed Mr. since made th rental owner h e charges of a similar complai risdictions are taff is explorin ompletely barri er Institute pri arking spaces p tal and referre must also be a ssible parking i HOAs in town i rictions would vith modificatio	e City of Sedona. d (A)(4). epped in and limit ost lost their stat Sims that Jerome eir ordinance rigo has to have some civil violation and ints, as long as the using HOAs, whic g deed restriction ing them would ne for claim. for each unit rent d to it as a "trans pplied to long-ter- requirements. is not feasible, an- likely not work, b ons to the Sedona	A portior ed local e shared receives rous in its one respon /or fine oj e short-te h works in is, and so ot work— ed out as ient lodgi m rentals d with ot what ordinance
complaints about them. She said too many complaints about a B&B can get it delisted, and that if the police get involved									
the complaints need to be reported and tracked. Mayor Dillenberg asked Ms. Gallagher to discuss this with Chief Muma.		Ms. Moore said she want	ed to do wha	tever is within	the town's leg	al power.			
		(40:23) Jerome resident urged everyone to listen many residents are conc	and homeow to another le erned and ask	ner Teri Klein p gal perspective ed why Counci	ointed out the e that her huse	it everyone f band Attorne	y Jeff Koppelm	naa has suggested	l. She said
the complaints need to be reported and tracked. Mayor Dillenberg asked Ms. Gallagher to discuss this with Chief Muma.		has gotten no response.	A brief excha	nge followed.					
 the complaints need to be reported and tracked. Mayor Dillenberg asked Ms. Gallagher to discuss this with Chief Muma. Ms. Moore said she wanted to do whatever is within the town's legal power. (40:23) Jerome resident and homeowner Teri Klein pointed out that everyone feels the same way about this matter and urged everyone to listen to another legal perspective that her husband Attorney Jeff Koppelmaa has suggested. She said many residents are concerned and asked why Council was not considering a different legal perspective when the town h one at hand, or would they be willing to. Mr. Sims said that he had emailed Mr. Koppelmaa acknowledging his points and wants to collaborate with him but that has gotten no response. A brief exchange followed. 							getner and tall	k about all option	s. She sai
 the complaints need to be reported and tracked. Mayor Dillenberg asked Ms. Gallagher to discuss this with Chief Muma. Ms. Moore said she wanted to do whatever is within the town's legal power. (40:23) Jerome resident and homeowner Teri Klein pointed out that everyone feels the same way about this matter and urged everyone to listen to another legal perspective that her husband Attorney Jeff Koppelmaa has suggested. She said many residents are concerned and asked why Council was not considering a different legal perspective when the town h one at hand, or would they be willing to. Mr. Sims said that he had emailed Mr. Koppelmaa acknowledging his points and wants to collaborate with him but that has gotten no response. A brief exchange followed. (42:21) Jerome resident and homeowner Sally Dryer asked that everyone get together and talk about all options. She said 									
 the complaints need to be reported and tracked. Mayor Dillenberg asked Ms. Gallagher to discuss this with Chief Muma. Ms. Moore said she wanted to do whatever is within the town's legal power. (40:23) Jerome resident and homeowner Teri Klein pointed out that everyone feels the same way about this matter and urged everyone to listen to another legal perspective that her husband Attorney Jeff Koppelmaa has suggested. She said many residents are concerned and asked why Council was not considering a different legal perspective when the town hone at hand, or would they be willing to. Mr. Sims said that he had emailed Mr. Koppelmaa acknowledging his points and wants to collaborate with him but that has gotten no response. A brief exchange followed. (42:21) Jerome resident and homeowner Sally Dryer asked that everyone get together and talk about all options. She said she would like to hear Mr. Sims's and Mr. Koppelmaa's perspectives. 			-						
 the complaints need to be reported and tracked. Mayor Dillenberg asked Ms. Gallagher to discuss this with Chief Muma. Ms. Moore said she wanted to do whatever is within the town's legal power. (40:23) Jerome resident and homeowner Teri Klein pointed out that everyone feels the same way about this matter and urged everyone to listen to another legal perspective that her husband Attorney Jeff Koppelmaa has suggested. She said many residents are concerned and asked why Council was not considering a different legal perspective when the town hone at hand, or would they be willing to. Mr. Sims said that he had emailed Mr. Koppelmaa acknowledging his points and wants to collaborate with him but that has gotten no response. A brief exchange followed. (42:21) Jerome resident and homeowner Sally Dryer asked that everyone get together and talk about all options. She said 			и соптенсти	use in a reside	ntial zone and	that if citize	ns coula per st	atewiae sunnort i	and

ITEM #9:	NEW BUSINESS										
8:51 (1:28:01)	ITEM #9A: REQUEST FOR ABANDONMENT OF TOWN RIGHT-OF-WAY Council will consider a request by Sean Bauer for abandonment of a portion of a town right-of-way located at 686 Verde										
		l consider a reque d may direct staff			ndonment of a	portion of a t	own right-of-w	ay located at 6	86 Verde		
	Ms. Harvey said she had an issue with this request because it involves town utilities and because she was confused about Mr. Bauer's ownership of the property and doesn't believe he is a licensed contractor.										
								would maintain	the utility		
	Ms. Gallagher explained that Mr. Bauer wants the town to abandon the ROW but that the town would maintain the utility easement.										
	Ms. Harvey reminded everyone about Gulch Radio wanting to install an antenna in that area and all the residential complaints it spurred.										
	Ms. Barber said the parcel numbers were correct but that the address was incorrect. She said she would also like to see the house plans. A brief discussion ensued regarding the correct address of the property.										
	Vice May	yor Worth said sh	e was lookin	g at the county	/ GIS and notice	ed that one of	the parcels is n				
		of who is building to see a sketch of						r. The vice mayo	or would		
		Mr. Sinclair clarif		-				nment, but that	it is not		
	clear wh	io is requesting th	is or why.		-						
		yor Worth stated or the request.	that to com	bine parcels or	do a lot line ad	justment, the	applicant must	: prove hardship	o as a		
	-	or the request.	d have to de	termine who e	lse this would i	mpact.					
		vey said that off-st				•					
	Motion to table Item #9A due to more information and clarification needed										
		COUNCILMEMBER BARBER	MOVED	SECONDED	AYE X	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN			
	DILLENBERG X HARVEY X X										
	MOORE X										
9:00 (1`:37:47)	Council wil from the Ya	: PROP 202 FUN I discuss and may avapai-Apache Na	decide on a	a project for w		-		-			
	Ms. Barber said she would like to see the funding go toward the public bathrooms and that Fire Chief Rusty Blair had also suggested this.										
	Ms. Harvey said the public restrooms would be a good project but that the shuttle van could also stand to be repaired or replaced. Mayor Dillenberg said he had talked to the driver, Scott (Aaron) Kolu, who said a shorter van would be safer to drive in Jerome. Ms. Harvey suggested that it be ADA compliant.										
	Ms. Moore said the town could also use revenue from the hotel tax for the van.										
	Ms. Harvey asked if there was any money left from last year's Prop 202, and Ms. Gallagher confirmed that there was.										
	Mayor D	illenberg propose	d funding th	ne restrooms ai	nd a new van.						
ITEM #10:		ROM THE COUI			_						
9:05 (1:42:10)		y direct staff reg					ut the listel ion	ama window a	timator		
		yor Worth said sh requested that C					ut the hotel jei	ome whoow es	stimates.		
		per informed ever					h was discussed	at the previous	Council		
	meeting. Ms. Moore said she would like to talk about residential signage for certain roads at the next meeting. She said residents										
		en requesting the		1.4.00							
		Resident and hor	neowner Ca	roi Wittner said	d the signs on S	chool Street r	need to be large	er.			
ITEM #11:	ADJOURN		rn at 0.08 -	m							
		Motion to adjou	MOVED	.m. SECONDED	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	ABSTAIN			
		BARBER DILLENBERG			X						
		HARVEY	х		Х						
		MOORE WORTH		x	X X						

APPROVE:

ATTEST:

Dr. Jack Dillenberg, Mayor

Candace B. Gallagher, CMC, Town Manager/Clerk

Date: _____



POST OFFICE BOX 335, JEROME, ARIZONA 86331 (928) 634-7943 FAX (928) 634-0715

Founded 1876 Incorporated 1899

STAFF SUMMARY REPORT

FROM: Candace Gallagher, Town Manager/Clerk

ITEM: ITEM #8A: WATER RATES, FEES AND CHARGES

MEETING DATE: January 11, 2022

The public hearing on water rates, fees and charges has been continued to this meeting in order to further discuss a possible line maintenance charge.

I have done calculations based on the distance from where water enters Jerome, with a "base" distance that would carry no charge, and then a charge for every 1,000 feet of distance beyond that. *As a beginning point for this discussion*, I've attached a spreadsheet with two scenarios for your review. We can discuss other possible scenarios or approaches at this meeting.

Council has the option to either continue or close the public hearing at this point and to take action at either this meeting or at a future meeting.

SCENARIOS FOR MONTHLY WATER LINE MAINTENANCE CHARGE

SCENARIO ONE:

Base distance = 6,500 feet

\$2 per 1,000 feet (or portion thereof beyond 6,500

Up to 6,500 feet	\$0.00
Between 6,500 and 7,500 feet	\$2.00
Between 7,500 and 8,500 feet	\$4.00
Between 8,500 and 9,500 feet	\$6.00
Between 9,500 and 10,500 feet	\$8.00
Between 10,500 and 11,500 feet	\$10.00
Between 11,500 and 12,500 feet	\$12.00
Between 12,500 and 13,500 feet	\$14.00
Between 13,500 and 14,500 feet	\$16.00
Between 14,500 and 15,500 feet	\$18.00
Between 15,500 and 16,500 feet	\$20.00

SCENARIO TWO:

Base distance = 6,500 feet

No charge up to base distance

Graduated charge per 1,000 feet or portion thereof beyond base distance (\$0.50 for first 1,000, \$1,00 for second 1,000, \$1.50 for third 1,000, etc.)

Up to 6,500 feet	\$0.00
Between 6,500 and 7,500 feet	\$0.50
Between 7,500 and 8,500 feet	\$1.50
Between 8,500 and 9,500 feet	\$3.00
Between 9,500 and 10,500 feet	\$5.00
Between 10,500 and 11,500 feet	\$7.50
Between 11,500 and 12,500 feet	\$10.50
Between 12,500 and 13,500 feet	\$14.00
Between 13,500 and 14,500 feet	\$18.00
Between 14,500 and 15,500 feet	\$22.50
Between 15,500 and 16,500 feet	\$27.50



POST OFFICE BOX 335, JEROME, ARIZONA 86331 (928) 634-7943 FAX (928) 634-0715

Founded 1876 Incorporated 1899

STAFF SUMMARY REPORT - UPDATED

FROM: Candace Gallagher, Town Manager/Clerk

ITEM: ITEM #8C: WATERLINE IMPROVEMENTS AND HYDRANT INSTALLATION

MEETING DATE: January 11, 2022

In 2021, the Town applied for CDBG funding to complete waterline improvements and hydrant installations on Dundee, Deception and Holly. We received a funding award in the total amount of \$381,140, which includes grant administration by NACOG. Funding for construction and engineering totals \$358,640.

On December 7, 2021, we received sealed bids for the project. Two bids were received, and they came in *significantly* higher than our available funding:

Company	<u>Dundee</u>	Deception	<u>Holly</u>	<u>Total</u>
Paul R. Peterson Construction	\$519,355.00	\$470,158.00	\$347,364.00	\$1,336,877.00
Tiffany Construction	\$669,823.00	\$857,237.00	\$784,757.00	\$2,311,817.00

I am preparing this report on January 4 and have a conference call scheduled tomorrow afternoon with NACOG and our Town Engineer to discuss our options. I will prepare and post an addendum to this report following that discussion.

ADDENDUM/UPDATE:

January 6, 2022 - After discussion today with NACOG, our Town Engineer and Marty Boland: As Dundee was the stated priority for this project, it will be re-bid for Dundee only, with bid alternates to include (1) roughly one-half of Dundee, (2) the remainder of Dundee and (3) certain items that had previously been excluded (staking, for example). We are hopeful that with the reduced scope of work, and the recent trend toward lower materials costs, the final bid price will be within our capacity to award.